

State of New Hampshire DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES WATER COUNCIL



6 Hazen Drive, P.O. Box 95, Concord, NH 03302-0095 (603) 271-3434 FAX (603) 271-2982

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE WATER COUNCIL

Decision & Order

Docket No. 03-19 WC

Appeal of Pennichuck Brook Watershed Council In Re: Site Specific Permit No. WPS-6467

Motion for Rehearing

Background

By letter dated June 13, 2003 the Pennechuck Brook Watershed Council ("PBWC") requested the Department of Environmental Services, Subsurface Systems Bureau ("DES") reconsider the issuance of all septic system and other permits related to the proposed Summerfield Condominium development in Amherst, NH ("the Project"). Based on procedural guidelines provided by DES, on July 14, 2003, the PBWC filed a Motion for Reconsideration with DES pursuant to RSA 485-A:40, I and II.

On November 5, 2003 DES issued a decision on Reconsideration ("the Decision") relative to the Motion for Reconsideration. By its decision, DES denied reconsideration of the issuance of the Site Specific Permit. In the decision DES informed PBWC that pursuant to RSA 21-O:7, IV and Env-WC 203.02, the PBWC shall have 30 days from the date of the decision to appeal the affirmation of Site Specific Permit #WPS-6467 to the Water Council. By its decision, DES also denied reconsideration of the issuance of subdivision and sewage disposal system approvals. In the decision DES informed PBWC that pursuant to RSA 485-A:40. IV, the PBWC shall have 30 days from the date of the decision to appeal the affirmation of the subdivision and septic system approvals by petition to the Superior Court.

TDD Access: Relay NH 1-800-735-2964

Docket No. 03-19 WC - Pennichuck Brook Watershed Council Appeal

Decision & Order on Motion for Rehearing

February 17, 2004

Page 2 of 3

On December 8, 2003, Dr. Allan Fuller filed, on behalf of PBWC, a Motion to Waive

Administrative Rules ("Motion to Waive") and a Notice of Appeal ("the Notice") with the NH

Water Council ("the Council"). The notice was appealing the DES affirmation of the November

5, 2003 decision. The notice was not only appealing that portion of the DES decision relative to

Site Specific Permit No. WPS-6467, but also DES Subdivision Approval #SA2003004123 and

DES Subsurface Sewage Disposal Septic System Approvals #CA2003052543.

On December 11, 2003 the Council issued a written Decision & Order denying The PBWC

Motion to Waive Administrative Rules and Summarily Dismissing the Notice of Appeal. In its

Decision & Order the Council concluded that the DES decision was clear with regards to the

appeals procedure available to PBWC relative to the issuance of Site Specific Permit No. WPS-

6467 and DES Subdivision Approval #SA2003004123 and DES Subsurface Sewage Disposal

Septic System Approvals #CA2003052543. The Council concluded that the DES notice clearly

stated that pursuant to RSA 21-O:7, IV and Env-WC 203.02, the PBWC shall have 30 days

from the date of the decision to appeal the affirmation of Site Specific Permit #WPS-6467

to the Water Council. The Council concluded that the DES notice clearly stated that that

pursuant to RSA 485-A:40. IV, the PBWC shall have 30 days from the date of the decision to

appeal the affirmation of the subdivision and septic system approvals by petition to the

Superior Court. The Council concluded that by filing a Notice of Appeal with the Council on

December 8, 2003 PBWC did not comply with the 30 day filing requirement as specified by

Council Rule Env-WC 203.02.

Pursuant to Env-WC 203.29(a), any person whose rights might be directly affected by the

Council decision may file a motion for rehearing within 30 days of the date of the decision.

On January 8, 2004 the PBWC filed a Motion for Rehearing with the Council. The PBWC

argued that its "Petition [was] filed within the thirty-day requirement."

Docket No. 03-19 WC – Pennichuck Brook Watershed Council Appeal Decision & Order on Motion for Rehearing February 17, 2004
Page 3 of 3

On February 11, 2004, at its regular Council Meeting, the Council considered the PBWC Motion for Rehearing.

Discussion/Conclusion

The Council concludes that the PBWC failed to meet its burden of proving, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the Council's December 11, 2003 Decision & Order to Summarily Dismiss the appeal was in error and should be reversed.

Order

Motion for Rehearing is **DENIED**

Reconsideration

Pursuant to RSA 541:6, within thirty days after the application for a rehearing is denied, or, if the application is granted, then within thirty days after the decision on such rehearing, the applicant may appeal by petition to the Supreme Court.

So Ordered for the Council by:

Michael Scłafani, Appeals Clerk

February 17, 2004